BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOURD UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION WASHINGTON, D.C.

JUN 2 3 205

Jun 2 3 205

Jun 2 3 205

FRM Chem, Inc.,
a.k.a Industrial Specialties

FIFRA Appeal No. 05-01

Docket No. FIFRA-07-2004-0041

ORDER ON FAILURE TO FILE A RESPONSE

On May 5, 2005, the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, filed an appeal brief with the Environmental Appeals Board ("Board") in connection with Administrative Law Judge William B. Moran's February 16, 2005 Initial Decision in the above-captioned case.\footnote{One of the Penalty Specifically, Region 7's appeal challenges the amount of the penalty the Initial Decision assessed against FRM. See Brief of the Complainant-Appellant ("Region 7's Brief") at 1.

Pursuant to the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. pt. 22, "[w]ithin 20 days of service of notices of appeal and briefs * * * any other party or non-party participant may file with the [Board] an original and one copy of a response brief responding to argument raised by the appellant." 40 C.F.R. § 22.30(a)(2). The deadline for the filing of a response brief in this case expired on May 30, 2005, which reflects the 20-day period just cited and an additional five days

¹ This case involves an penalty action initiated by Region 7 under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA"), 7 U.S.C. § 136 et. seq., against FRM Chem, Inc. ("FRM"), also known as Industrial Specialties. The underlying complaint alleged that FRM "violated FIFRA by engaging in the distribution or sale of an unregistered and misbranded pesticide." Region 7's Brief at 3.

because the brief was served by mail (see 40 C.F.R. § 22.7(c)). To date, FRM has not filed a response to Region 7's appeal.²

Having received no response brief from the Appellee, the Board intends to proceed with its evaluation of this appeal based on the record before it.

So ordered.

Dated: JUN 2 3 2005

ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

Edward E. Reich Environmental Appeals Judge

² FRM has made an appearance in this appeal, responding to Region 7's initial motion for extension of time and to an earlier Board Order regarding Region 7's request for clarification of the administrative record. *See* Motion for Denial fo Extension of Time to Appeal Brief (Mar. 24, 2005); Order Regarding Motion for Clarification of the Record (Mar. 25, 2005).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing **Order on Failure to File a Response** in the matter of *FRM Chem, Inc.*, FIFRA Appeal No. 05-01, were sent to the following persons in the manner indicated:

By Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested:

Raymond E. Kastendieck President, FRM Chem, Inc. P.O. Box 207 Washington, MO 63090

By Pouch mail:

Chris R. Dudding Office of Regional Counsel U.S. EPA, Region 7 901 North Fifth Street Kansas City, Kansas 66101

JUN 2 3 2005

Aunette Duncan Secretary

	ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION WASHINGTON, D.C.	WWW.	JUN	2 3	<u> </u>	10
In re: I-RM Chem, Inc., a.k.a Industrial Specialties)))) FIFRA Appeal No.	HILIALS	NVIRONI	IENTAL	APPEALS	SOARD
Docket No. FIFRA-07-2004-0041))					

ORDER ON FAILURE TO FILE A RESPONSE

On May 5, 2005, the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, filed an appeal brief with the Environmental Appeals Board ("Board") in connection with Administrative Law Judge William B. Moran's February 16, 2005 Initial Decision in the above-captioned case.¹ Specifically, Region 7's appeal challenges the amount of the penalty the Initial Decision assessed against FRM. See Brief of the Complainant-Appellant ("Region 7's Brief") at 1.

U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL REC (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance of		s of Practice, 40 C.F.R. pt. 22, "[w]ithin 20 days of			
		* any other party or non-party participant may file with			
Postage \$ Certified Fea		response brief responding to argument raised by the			
Refum Rocelot Fae Endorsement Required;	Postmark Here	deadline for the filing of a response brief in this case			
Restricted Douvery Fea (Endorsament Required)		the 20-day period just cited and an additional five days			
Total Posinge & Fees \$					
RAYMONE (USTE STREET AND BOX 207	UDIECK_	tion initiated by Region 7 under the Federal Insecticide,			
WASHWG704 HO 63090		alties. The underlying complaint alleged that FRM			
PS form 3800, January 2001 "VIOLATEO FIFTKA Dy C	Son Kryerse for across or Mgagnig III the are	Hibution or sale of an unregistered and misbranded			
pesticide." Region 7					